



Received: 29 May 2020 Accepted: 20 December 2020 Published: 23 September 2021

- ¹ Assistant Professor of Persian Language and Literature, Faculty of Humanities, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran (Corresponding Author). E-mail: abasiz@modares.ac.ir
- ² Assistant Professor of Teaching English as a Foreign Language, Kosar University of Bojnord, Bojnord, Iran. E-mail:

h.yaghoubinezhad@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Abbasi, Zahra, Yaqubinejad, Hadi,(2021). Typological Analysis of Counterfactual Conditions in Persian Language, The International Journal of Humanities (2021) Vol. 28 (4): (91-107).

http://eijh.modares.ac.ir/article-27-43254-en.html

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Typological Analysis of Counterfactual Conditions in Persian Language

Zahra Abbasi¹, Hadi Yaqubinejad²

Abstract: The purpose of this article is to contribute to studies on counterfactuals by exploring counterfactual conditions in Persian. Here, counterfactual sentences in Persian have been studied based on typological findings of the same in different languages. In order to study the typology, two main parameters are examined: symmetrical and asymmetrical morphological patterns of counterfactual conditional sentences and the range of TAM (Tense Aspect Mood) values in protasis and apodosis. The main concepts of counterfactual conditionals, including the construction of complex sentences, imagined conditions that have not occurred, false events, and the two above parameters were taken into account. This is a library-based research and the required data was extracted from the Persian Linguistic Database (PLDB). Findings show that the counterfactual conditions in Persian have a complex sentence construction and protasis acts as a subordinate clause and in typological classifications, Persian has counterfactual conditionals for past, present and future and counterfactual conditionals have both symmetrical and asymmetrical patterns. Also in the TAM spectrum, counterfactual conditions have both symmetrical and asymmetric morphological patterns. In these patterns, both protasis and apodosis are used in the past continuous and past perfect tenses. In all three types of counterfactual conditions, the past tense is used, with either perfective or imperfective appearance.

Keywords: Counterfactual Condition; Typology; TAM (Tense-Aspect-Mood); Complex Sentences; Past Marking; False Events.

Introduction

Conditional sentences are constructions with an adverbial clause that express condition(s) so that with their realization, the proposition made by apodosis is also realized. The form of conditional structures consists of a two-part compound sentence, which includes protasis or antecedent and apodosis or consequence (Inchaurralde, 2005). Counterfactual conditionals (abbreviated CF) are conditional sentences that include a conjunction /"agar" (if اگر،) that express something contrary to the reality. The term "counterfactual" was first coined by Nelson Goodman in 1947 to refer to certain type of conditionals. In fact, conditional sentences have always been one of the key topics in the fields of semantics, pragmatics, and philosophy of language, and they have been examined from various approaches (Kaufmann, 2001; Haegeman, 2003; Rawlins, 2008; Bjorkman, 2011). In this regard, there are important categories of conditional sentences. In most cases, researchers have divided conditionals into event and premise conditionals (Haegman 2003). Dabiri Moghadam and Sedighifar (2012) have also

recognized the quadruple category of Samareh (1993) for teaching conditional sentences in Persian, which describes the probability of occurrence. They explain the classification of conditionals as follows: The first type is conditionals that are likely to occur in the present tense. The second type is conditionals that are unlikely to occur in the present, and the third type is conditionals that could have occurred in the past, but did not happen since the condition was not met. The fourth type is conditionals that are likely to occur in the future, depending on whether the condition is fulfilled. Two types of conditionals in the classification of Dabiri Moghadam Sedighifar (2012) are conditionals that are unlikely to happen and can, therefore, be considered counterfactual conditionals. It should be noted that counterfactual assertions are not limited to conditional sentences, and the functional domain of counterfactual assertions is encoded by different grammatical structures, including:

A) Counterfactual wishes: (ey kăš injă budi) (اى كاش اينجا (I wish you were here) B) Counterfactual simple clauses: (to băyad be mehmăni mi-ămadi) (تو باید به میهمانی می آمدی) (You should have come to the party)

C) Counterfactual conditionals: (agar rafte budam, u ră mi-didam) (اگر رفته بودم، او را می دیدم) (If I had gone, I would have seen him)

In general, counterfactual structures imply that the described situations are false (Karawani 2014: 3; Nordström, 2010, 24); In other words, they refer to imagined situations that did not or could not take place (Givón 2001, 332-333). The goal of this paper is to contribute to typological studies on counterfactual assertions, including Qian (2016) and Martinez (2018), by examining counterfactual conditionals in Persian.

This article, in addition to reviewing the literature on counterfactual conditionals in Persian, examines the construction counterfactual conditionals from a typological perspective and discusses issues raised with respect to the typology of counterfactual conditionals including complex sentence construction, imagined situation that did not occur and false events. It then continues to discuss two main parameters in studies of typological analysis of counterfactual

conditions: the symmetric and asymmetric morphological patterns of counterfactual conditionals and the range of TAM that appear in the protasis and apodosis of counterfactual conditionals. Although there are other works that have dealt with different types of conditional constructions, the present study examines the main parameters of the typology of Persian conditionals, which makes it innovative in this regard.

Literature Review

Traugott (1986) argues that conditional constructions are linguistic expressions consisting of a subordinate clause and a main clause, asserting that the occurrence of a phenomenon or situation is conditional upon the realization of another phenomenon or situation (Traugott et al., 1986: 3). The syntactic structure of conditionals is founded on three basic elements: word if, protasis, or subordinate clause, and or consequence.

"agar" (If اکر،) is one of key elements in the formation of a conditional sentence, which makes the realization of a sentence contingent on fulfillment of a condition. In Persian "agar"

is the main conjunction word. This word, as a conjunctive or linking word, connects two clauses. The sentence that begins with if is called a "protasis" or "subordinate clause" and the apodosis upon which the condition clause depends called "consequence" is or "independent conditional clause". The protasis and apodosis constitute the pillars of the conditionals. Conditional clauses are traditionally divided into different types. First, simple/ realistic conditional clauses, which refer to present, general, past, or future situations in which the probability that a condition is fulfilled is very high (Dixon, 2009: 15). Second, hypothetical conditional clauses, which describe unreal circumstances that may occur hypothetically (Givón, 2001: 333-334). Third, counterfactual conditional clauses, which describe hypothetical situations that did not occur or could not have occurred in the past (Kortmann 1997: 85; Givón, 2001: 332-333). A recent typological study suggests that most languages tend to create a kind of tripartite lexical-syntactic and hypotheticality distinction in conditional clauses, which seems to support traditional classification.

In classification studies of counterfactual Haspelmath unconditional sentences, examined a cumulative sample consisting of 165 languages (Haspelmath et al., 2005). Qian (2016) also studied counterfactual conditions based on data from 155 languages. Qian (2016: 87) asserts that two types of elements are employed in most languages to denote counterfactuals: syntactic elements (such as past/perfective/imperfective) and morphological elements. He argues that with respect to linguistics, most languages tend to elements indicate recruit syntactic to counterfactuals, languages with and counterfactual morphological elements are relatively rare compared to languages with syntactic elements (e.g. past, perfect, imperfect) In Persian, grammarians have addressed various subjects related to conditional sentences. In almost all Persian grammar textbooks, a separate section is devoted to the and classification of conditional study sentences. There are some seminal grammar books including the Principles of Persian Grammar by Shafaei (1984)and the Comprehensive Grammar of Persian by Mohtashami (1991). In addition to grammar books, this subject has received growing scholarly attention in a host of dissertations and theses, some of which are summarized as follows:

Some studies have looked into conditional sentences from the perspective of formal linguistics, e.g. Kabiri (2013), Sukaki (1996), Rasoolnia and Aghajani (2013), Mohammadi (2014), Ibn al-Rasool et al. (2016) and Pashaei et (2017). The study and analysis of conditionals in the field of cognitive linguistics has been the subject of numerous studies, including Rostami (2010), Yousefzadeh (2016) and Baghaei and Naghzgoo Kohan (2018). The event-related conditional sentences in the Persian were investigated by Kabiri and Darzi (2015). Some research has addressed the field of second language teaching and conditional sentences for example, Mahmoudi Gahruei (2010), Abbasi (2013), Haji Rezaei and Sahraei (2015) and Salimi (2015).

Methodology

The goal of this paper is to study the counterfactual conditionals from a typological perspective. To this aim, subjects within the typology of counterfactual conditionals,

including construction of complex sentences, imagined conditions that have not occurred, false events, and the two main parameters mentioned, are taken into consideration.

- Symmetrical and asymmetrical morphological patterns of counterfactual conditional sentences;
- 2. The range of TAM values that tend to appear in protasis and apodosis of counterfactual conditional constructions.

This is a theoretical study in terms of purpose, and it is descriptive concerning the nature and methodology. Data of the study was obtained through a library method from http://pldb.ihcs.ac.ir and the long sentences were simplified.

Counterfactual Conditionals in Typological Studies

Counterfactual conditional clause is a kind of complex sentence structure in which the relationship between the antecedent (protasis) and the consequence (apodosis) relies on a hypothetical situation that has not taken place. While the protasis describes an unreal event, the apodosis provides an unreal event too, suggesting what would have happened if the

protasis had actually occurred. To better understand the definition of counterfactual conditional clause, it is essential to expound on complex sentence structures and hypothetical unreal situations to clarify the false event:

Complex Sentence Construction

the definition of "complex sentence construction," it should be noted that this structure indicates a special relationship between (at least) two situations in (at least) two clauses (Longacre, 1985: 235). Therefore, the complex sentence construction contains more than one clause. Similarly, a clause can be defined as a unit composed of at least one predication (Gast & Diessel, 2012: 4). The syntactic relationship between these two situations in different languages may be in form of coordination, co-subordination, subordination, pseudo-coordination, pseudosubordination. What complicates the matters further is that conceptual subordination is problematic because distinguishing its various types is considered as a gradual process (Gast & Diessel, 2012: 5; Lehmann, 1988: 190). The concept of "complex sentence construction" is also important, because it helps us to consider

different of subordinate the forms constructions. In this regard, the traditional complex analysis sentences divides subordinate clauses into three categories according to the function of predication (Croft, 2001: 321), which includes adverbial clauses, complement clauses, and relative clauses. However, sometimes it is difficult to draw the line between these constructions.

In Persian:

Counterfactual conditionals actually have complex sentence construction in Persian. The conditional clause represents a subordinate clause and is considered as an adverbial clause (Kazemi Najafabadi et al., 2016: 28) and the relationship between protasis and apodosis is of subordination. The protasis in counterfactual conditional sentences cannot be considered as relative or complement clause.

Imagined Situation that Did Not Happen

The imagined situation that did not happen is the second constituent of the counterfactual conditionals. This constituent refers to three types of counterfactual conditional sentences, which include past counterfactual conditionals, which express an unreal situation in the past. For example:

1. Agar Maryam fardă <u>mi-ămad</u>, mă xošhăl <u>mi-šodim</u>. (If Mary had come yesterday, we would have had fun.)(اگر مریم فردا می آمد، ما خوش حال می شدیم)

The present counterfactual conditionals, which express an unreal situation in the present, for example:

2. Faqat agar Maryam <code>?</code>alăn injă <code>bud</code>, mă xošhăl <code>mi-budim</code>. (If only Mary were here now, we would be happy.) (فقط اگر مریم الآن اینجا بود، ما خوشحال)

And future counterfactual conditionals, which indicate an unreal situation in the future, for exmaple:

3. Agar Maryam diruz <u>ămade-bud</u>, be mă xoš <u>mi-gozašt</u> (If Mary were to come tomorrow, we would be happy) (-اگر مریم دیروز آمده بود، به ما خوش می اگر مریم دیروز آمده ود. به ما خوش می (گذشت.

Some researchers, such as Iatridou (2000: 231) and Karawani (2014: 4), posit that only past and present counterfactual conditionals are true counterfactuals, for people only have access to the past and present facts. However, there are probable conditions to which future counterfactuals may apply, despite the absence of information. The languages in Qian's (2016)

research sample often have past counterfactuals rather than present and future counterfactuals. There is no language in his sample that contains future counterfactuals but lacks past and present conditionals. However, unlike previous research that demonstrates there is no language that have present counterfactuals but lacks past conditionals (Hogeweg, 2009: 193).

In Persian:

Among these typological classifications, Persian is one of the languages that have counterfactual conditionals in the past, present and future.

Past counterfactual conditionals:

4. Agar ănhă părsăl văqeiyat ră be Ali gofte budand, Palăn in hame darde-sar nadăštand.

(If they had told the truth to Ali last year, he wouldn't be in so much pain now.) (اقعيت را به على گفته بودند، الان اين همه درد سر نداشتند

Present counterfactual conditionals:

5. Agar ădam-e servatmandi <u>budam</u>, in măšin-e gerăn-qeymat ră <u>mi-xaridam</u>. (If I were rich, I would buy this expensive car.) (المثنين عروتمندى بودم، اين المخريدم)

Future counterfactual conditionals:

6. Havă-šenăsi e<u>?</u>lăm karde fardă bărăn-e šadida mi-bărad, be hamin xăter ordu-ye točal

ră laqv kardim. Agar bărăn <u>nemi-bărid</u>, hatman be ordu-ye točal <u>mi-raftim</u> (The meteorologist forecast heavy rain tomorrow. So, we canceled the resort camp to Tochal. If it would not rain tomorrow, we would definitely go to the resort camp.) (ويجال ما كرده فردا باران شديدى مى بارد. به همين خاطر اردوى توجال مى رفتيم

False Events

The third and final element is "false event," which refers to the fact that counterfactual conditionals semantically reflect the polarity of the expressed structure. Vanlinden & Verstraete (2008:1866) argue that counterfactual conditionals with positive polarity include a corresponding negative predication in their interpretation, and counterfactual conditionals with negative polarity include a corresponding positive proposition. For example, in Example 6, protasis and apodosis have a positive polarity, but are interpreted as a clause with negative predication. That is, I am not rich and do not buy an expensive car. "False event" explains the concept of polarity of reversal, and protasis and apodosis must both describe "false events" in counterfactual conditionals. In this regard,

while the protasis describes a fictitious event, the apodosis also defines a false event, suggesting what would have happened if the protasis had occurred. The concept of false event and polarity of reversal also applies in Persian, as exemplified by Examples 5 and 6.

Parameter 1 in Typological Analysis of Counterfactual Conditions: Symmetrical and Asymmetrical Patterns are Protasis and Apodosis

Linguistically, the verbs in protasis and apodosis of counterfactual conditionals may sometimes be coded with different values of TAM. This feature is called asymmetry of conditionals (Molencki, 2000: 325; Haiman & Kuteva, 2002: 101). However, sometimes protasis and apodosis, regardless of their specific morphological forms, have parallel structures, which indicate a symmetrical pattern. There are even languages in which counterfactual conditionals may be symmetrical or asymmetrical, or neither the protasis and apodosis indicate any TAM.

In Persian:

As mentioned earlier, in Persian, counterfactual conditional sentences are semantically divided

into three categories: sentences that indicate an event is counterfactual and unlikely to happen in the past, sentences that indicate an event is counterfactual and unlikely to happen in the present and sentences suggesting that an event

is counterfactual and unlikely to happen in the future. Example 8 shows that counterfactual conditionals in the Persian follow both a symmetrical and an asymmetric pattern.

8 a) Past counterfactual conditionals:

Agar ănhă văqeiyat ră be Ali gofte budand,

If they had told the truth to Ali,

Agar ănhă văqeiyat ră be Ali mi-goftand,

If they told the truth to Ali,

?alăn in hame dare-sar nadăštid (nemi-dăštid).

They would not have (did not have) all these troubles.

2alăn bă u be tavăfoq reside budand.

They would have reached an agreement with him.

2alăn dar ărămeš-e bištar-i budand (mi-budand).

They were (would be) more relaxed now.

mi-tavănestand bă u mo?ămele konand.

They could trade with him.

šăyad mi-tavănestand bă u be tavăfoq beresand.

They might have reached an agreement with him.

bă u be tavăfoq mi-residand

They agreed with him.

8 b) Present counterfactual conditionals:

Agar ădam-e servatmandi <u>budam (mi-budam)</u>,

If I were rich,

Agar dar qo?e-keši-ye bank barande mi-šodam,

If I had won the bank lottery,

in măšin-e gerăn-qeymat ră mi-xaridam

I would buy this expensive car.

mi-tavănestam be safar-e dor-e donyă beravam.

I could travel around the world

8 c) Future counterfactual conditionals:

Agar farad bărăn nemi-bărid,

اگر فردا باران نمی بارید،

If it was not raining tomorrow,

hatman be ordu-ye tochal mi-raftim.

حتما به اردوي توچال ميرفتيم.

would definitely go to the resort camp.

As can be seen, in all three types of counterfactual conditions in the Persian, a symmetrical pattern is found in which the verb of both subordinate and main clauses are in past continuous. This pattern applies to all three types of past, present, and future conditionals, with the adverbs of time or the context specifying whether these conditionals belong to the past, present, or future;

The tense of counterfactual conditionals to which the sentence used with the past

continuous verb refers is determined by nonlinguistic and linguistic evidence.

Of course, the past continuous of verbs "to be" and "to have" is also represented as simple past, where the simple past of these two verbs has been used instead of the past continuous in the above examples. However, in addition to this symmetrical pattern, there are another symmetrical patterns and asymmetrical patterns in the past counterfactual conditionals. In another symmetrical pattern, which is used

only in the past counterfactual conditionals, the verb in both protasis and apodosis are in past participle. In asymmetric patterns, past continuous and past perfect tenses are represented in the protasis and apodosis. If there are modal verbs, the verb is again represented in past continuous (Examples 8a). From a linguistic point of view, asymmetric counterfactual conditionals are the most powerful type found in all macro areas (Qian, 2016). Therefore, Persian is classified among languages that have both symmetrical and asymmetric patterns in the TAM range.

Parameter 2 in typological analysis of counterfactual conditions: TAM range in counterfactual conditionals (intra-clausal markers of counterfactual conditionals)

Intra-clausal markers refer to a range of TAM values that may appear in protasis and apodosis (Hetterle 2015: 82). Intra-clausal markers specify component clauses for characteristics such as tense and aspect. These markers can affect the meanings of complex sentence constructions as much as conjunctives. However, unlike conjunctives, these markers are not considered specialized (Verstraete 2014:

195). Since counterfactual conditionals express imagined situations, it can be expected that these sentences will be considered as purely irrealis (Sun 2007: 798).

In Persian:

According to the data obtained from Persian, only the past marking and prototypical realis operator (past, perfective or imperfective) can be found in counterfactual conditional sentences. We now turn to past marking, perfective and imperfective marking related to the Persian data.

Past Marking, Perfective and Imperfective Marking

Research shows that past markers are key elements, but they appear with other types of verbal markers. This point has been raised by Dahl (1997) as well. The past tense takes place with the verbal operator, which is expected to appear in non-actualized situations. These results are aligned with those reported by Givon (2001:330). He explains that counterfactual conditionals are sometimes marked by a combination of two present verbal inflections (1) a prototypical realis operator (past,

perfective or imperfective), (2) and operator prototypical irrealis (future, subjunctive, conditional or modal. Steele (1975) contends that the relationship between past tense and conditional sentences is that the core meaning of "past tense" does not lie in the past but something distant from the present reality. In some cases, the past tense could indicate counterfactuals in some of the languages in Qian's (2016) research sample. The most common occurrence is related to the past tense, with irrealis marking, where the past tenses of the verb with the irrealis prefixation express past counterfactual meaning.

In Persian, all three types of conditions mentioned (examples of which are labelled no. 8), demonstrate that Persian uses past tense to express counterfactual conditionals in three types of past, present and future situations, both with perfective and imperfective marking, which is in line with Givon's view and includes the prototypical realis operator (past, perfective or imperfective). As exhibited by examples 8A, B, and C, past continuous, past perfect, and simple past (for "to be" and "to have" verbs) appear in protasis and apodosis with the simple past and past perfect having a perfective making

and the past continuous having an imperfective marking. It is necessary to mention that in the case of the verb "to be" and "to have", the past continuous and past perfect are usually not used, and simple past is used instead (Anvari-Givi, 1991: 2/48; Mohtashami, 1991: 337)

Conclusion

The goal of this paper was to investigate the typology of counterfactual conditionals in Persian based on previous typological studies including Qian (2016) and Martinez (2018). In this paper, after reviewing theoretical foundations and research background, two parameters of symmetrical and asymmetric construction of counterfactual patterns conditionals and the range of TAM values that protasis appear in and apodosis in counterfactual conditional sentences were investigated and the following results were obtained:

In the typological classifications,
 Persian is one of languages that have past, present and future tenses for counterfactual conditional.
 Counterfactual sentences in Persian have complex sentence construction.

The protasis is a subordinate clause and acts as an adverbial clause, and there is a subordinative relationship between protasis and apodosis. Counterfactual conditionals in Persian describe a situation that has not taken place and both clauses in counterfactual conditional sentence express a false event with reversal of polarity. These false events suggest how the world would be if the condition had been fulfilled.

2. Also, from a linguistic point of view, Persian is among languages that have both symmetrical and asymmetric patterns. In the symmetrical model of counterfactual conditionals. both protasis and apodosis are used in past continuous tense. This pattern applies to all three types of past, present, and future conditionals, and the adverb of tense or context indicates whether the counterfactual conditionals belong to the past, or present, or future. In another symmetrical pattern, which is used only in the past counterfactual conditionals, the verb of both protasis

- and apodosis is in past perfect tense. In asymmetric patterns, past continuous and past perfect tenses appear in the protasis and apodosis. If there are modal verbs, the verb will still be in past continuous.
- 3. In examining the TAM range in Persian data, it was concluded that in three types of counterfactual conditions in the past, present and future tense, the with perfective past tense or imperfective representation are used. The past continuous, past perfect, and the simple past (for "to be" and "to have" verbs) appear in the protasis and apodosis, where the simple past and perfect perfective past have appearance and the past continuous has an imperfective appearance. It is important to note that in the case of the verb "to be" and "to have", the past continuous and the past perfect tenses are usually not used, and in both cases, the simple past is preferred.

Therefore, it can be concluded from the study of important parameters in the counterfactual conditions and research findings that Persian is one of the languages in which there are counterfactual conditional sentences for the The present and future tenses. past, counterfactual conditions in Persian have a complex sentence construction and protasis subordinate clause. Also acts as counterfactual conditions have both symmetrical and asymmetric morphological

patterns. In these patterns, both protasis and apodosis are used in the past continuous and past perfect tenses. There are two different TAM ranges in Persian. In all three types of counterfactual conditions for the past, present and future tenses, the past tense is used, whether with perfective or imperfective appearance.

References

- [1] Anvari, H. &Hassan Ahmadi Givi, (1991). *Persian Grammar*. Tehran: Fatemi (in Persian).
- [2] Baghaei, S.A. &Naghzgoo Kohan, M., (2018). 'Syntactic tense of possible worlds of conditional constructions in contemporary Persian'. Contemporary Persian and Persian Dialects / 3 (2), 55-71 (in Persian).
- [3] Bjorkman, B.M., (2011). 'The syntax of inverted conditional antecedents'. *LSA Annual Meeting*, Pittsburgh, PA.
- [4] Comrie, B., (1976). *Aspect*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [5] Comrie, B., (1985). *Tense*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- [6] Comrie, B., (1986). Conditionals: 'A Typology'. In On Conditionals, Elizabeth Traugott, Aliceter Meulen, Judy Reilly & Charles Ferguson (eds.), 77-99. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [7] Croft, W., (2001). *Radical Construction Grammar*. Syntactic Theory in Typological Perspective.Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [8] Dabirimoghadam, M. & Sedighifar, Z., (2012). Teaching conditional sentences of Persian to non-Persian. Comparison of structural and task-based teaching methods". *Research on Teaching Persian to non-Persian*, 1(2), 32-39 (in Persian).
- [9] Dahl, Östen. (1997). 'The Relation between Past Tense Reference and Counterfactuality: A New Look'. In *On Conditionals Again*, Angeliki Athanasiadou & René Dirven (eds.), 97-114, Amsterdam/New York: John Benjamins.

- [10] Dixon, R. M. W., (2009). 'The Semantics of Clause Linking in Typological Perspective'. In *The Semantics of Clause Linking: A Cross-Linguistic Typology*, R. M. W. Dixon & Alexandra Aikhenvald (eds.), 1-55. Oxford: OUP.
- [11] Fatemi, S.M., (2012). 'Conditional constructions in Golestan Saadi: Cognitive approach'. Master's thesis. Linguistics Department. Faculty of Literature and Humanities. Tarbiat Modares University (in Persian).
- [12] Gast, V. & Diessel, H., (2012). 'The Typology of Clause Linkage: Status quo, Challenges, Prospects'. In Clause Linkage in Cross-linguistic Perspective: Data-driven Approaches to Cross-clausal Syntax, Volker Gast & Holger Diessel (eds.), 1-36. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- [13] Givón, T., (2001). *Syntax an Introduction*, Volume 2. Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- [14] Goodman, N., (1947). 'The Problem of Counterfactual Conditionals', The Journal of Philosophy, Vol. 44, No. 5, (27 February 1947), Pp. 113-128.
- [15] Haegeman, L., (2003). 'Conditional clauses: External and internal syntax'. *Mind and Language*, 18, 317-339.
- [16] Haiman, J. & Kuteva, T., (2002). 'The Symmetry of Counterfactuals'. In *Complex Sentences in Grammar and Discourse*. (Essays in Honor of Sandra, A. Thompson.), Joan Bybee & Michael Noonan (eds.), 101-124. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

- [17] Haji Seyed Rezaei, A.B. & Sahraei, R.M., (2015). 'Teaching Persian grammar to foreigners based on the relationship between language functions and grammatical forms'. *Journal of Archaeological Research*, 7(2), 57-84 (in Persian).
- [18] Haspelmath, M., Matthew S. Dryer, D. G. & B. Comrie (eds.), (2005). *World Atlas of Language Structures*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [19] Hetterle K., (2015). Adverbial Clauses in Cross-Linguistic Perspective. Berlin. Boston: De Gruyter Mouton
- [20] Hogeweg, L., (2009). 'What's so Unreal about the Past: Past Tense and Counterfactuals.' In *Studies on English Modality in Honour of Frank R. Palmer, Tsangalidis, A. and Facchinetti, R.* (eds.), 181-208. Bern, Peter Lang.
- [21] Iatridou, S., (2000). 'The Grammatical Ingredients of Counterfactuality'. *Linguistic Inquiry* 31(2): 231–270.
- [22] Ibn al-Rasool, M.H., Kazemi Najafabadi, S. & Kazemi, M., (2016). 'The semantic relationship of subordinate and main clauses in the conditional sentences of Persian'. *Persian Literature*, 1 (6), Pp. 93-112 (in Persian).
- [23] Inchaurralde, C., (2005). 'A corpus based approach to the study of counterfactual English conditionals. In A. M. Hornero, M. J. Luzón, S. M. Ornat (Eds.), Corpus Linguistics: Application For the Study of English, second printing, series: linguistics insights. Volume 25, 215-238.
- [24] Kabiri, R., (2013). 'A syntactic study of the conditional clause and "if" Master's thesis. Linguistics Department. Faculty of Literature and Humanities. University of Tehran (in Persian).
- [25] Kabiri, R. and Darzi. A., (2015). 'Event-based conditional sentences in Persian'. *University of Isfahan Linguistic Research*, 7(1), 31-48 (in Persian).
- [26] Karawani, H., (2014). The Real, the Fake, and the Fake in Counterfactual Conditionals, Crosslinguistically. Utrecht: Landelijke Onderzoekschool Taalwetenschap, Netherlands National Graduate School of Linguistics.
- [27] Kaufmann. S., (2001). 'Aspects of the meaning and use of conditionals'. PhD Dissertation. University of Stanford.
- [28] Kazemi Najafabadi S., Ibn al-Rasool, M.R., Zarkoob, M., (2016). The word "if" in Persian

- grammar and its equivalents in Arabic'. *Literary Techniques*, 8 (14) 27-42 (in Persian).
- [29] Kortmann, B., (1997). Adverbial Subordination: A Typology and History of Adverbial Subordinators Based on European Languages. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- [30] Lehmann, Ch., (1988). 'Towards a Typology of Clause Linkage'. In *Clause Combining in Discourse and Grammar*, John Haiman & Sandra A. Thompson (eds.), 181-225. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- [31] Longacre, R. E., (1985). 'Sentences as Combinations of Clauses'. In *Language Typology and Syntactic Description*, Timothy Shopen (ed.), 235-286. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [32] Mahmoudi Gahruei, V. (2010). 'Investigating the order of learning and the effect of mother tongue on learning conditional sentences by English learners of Persian-language'. Master's thesis. English language department. Faculty of Literature and Humanities. Yazd University (in Persian).
- [33] Mohammadi, S., (2014). Investigating Strategies for translating english conditional sentences into Persian. case study: explanatory texts. Master's thesis. English language department. Faculty of Literature and Humanities. Imam Reza International University (in Persian).
- [34] Mohtashami, B., (1991). A Complete Course in Persian Grammar. Tehran: Ishraqi (in Persian).
- [35] Molencki, R., (2000). 'Parallelism vs. Asymmetry: The Case of English Counterfactual Conditionals'. In *Pathways of Change: Grammaticalization in English*, O. Fischer, A. Rosenbach & D. Stein (eds.), 325-342. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- [36] Nordström, J., (2010). *Modality and Subordinators*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- [37] Pashaei, M.P., Ahmadzadeh, H. & Tarvardi Nash, M., (2017). 'A review of grammarians' views on elements of conditional construction in Arabic.' *Arabic Literature*, 9 (2), 151-164. [in Persian]
- [38] Qian, Y., (2016). 'A Typology of Counterfactual Clauses'. Ph.D. Thesis. City University of Hong Kong.
- [39] Rasoolnia, A.H. & Aghajani M., (2013). 'Classification of conditional sentences in Arabic in reflection of the Holy Qur'an'. *Lesan Mobin Quarterly*, 4(2), 88-106 (in Persian).

- [40] Rawlins, K., (2008). (Un)Conditionals: An investigation in the syntax and semantics of conditional structures. PhD Dissertation. University Of California, Santa Cruz.
- [41] Rostami, M., (2010). Conditional Sentences in Persian: Cognitive Approach. Linguistics Department, Faculty of Literature and Humanities. Institute of Humanities and Cultural Studies.
- [42] Sukaki, M., (1996). 'Contrastive analysis of conditional sentences in Persian and English on some of its practical considerations'. Master's thesis. Linguistics Department. Faculty of Literature and Humanities. Mashhad Ferdowsi University (in Persian).
- [43] Salimi, I.A., (2015). 'The differential effect of corrective feedback on learning conditional sentences and English articles by language learners'. *Linguistic Research*, *6* (5), 235-259. [in Persian]
- [44] Shafaei, A., (1984). *Principles Persian Grammar*. Tehran: Novin (in Persian).
- [45] Steele, S., (1975). 'Past and Irrealis: Just What Does It All Mean?' *International Journal of American Linguistics*, 41:200-217.

- [46] Sun, J., (2007). 'The Irrealis Category in rGyalrong'. Language and Linguistics 8(3), 797-819.
- [47] Traugott, E. C., Meulen, Alice, Reilly, Judy & Ferguson, Charles (eds.) (1986). *On Conditionals*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [48] VanLinden, An & Verstraete, Jean-Christophe (2008). 'The Nature and Origins of Counterfactuality in Simple Clauses: Cross-linguistic Evidence'. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 40, 1865-1895.
- [49] Verstraete, J. Ch., (2014). 'The Role of Mood Marking in Complex Sentences: A Case Study of Australian languages'. *Word* 57: 195-236.
- [50] Willis D., (2000). 'Verb movement in Slavonic conditionals. In S. Pintzuk, G. Tsoulas and A. Warner (Eds.)', *Diachronic Syntax: Models and Mechanisms*. 322-348 Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [51] Yousefzadeh, E., (2016). 'Investigating the types and applications of conditional sentences in Mohammad Hossein Shahriar's poetry'. Master's thesis. Persian Literature Department. Faculty of Literature and Humanities. Payame Noor University of Shiraz (in Persian).

9

تاریخ دریافت: ۱۳۹۹/۳/۹ تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۳۹۹/۹/۳۰ تاریخ انتشار: ۱۴۰۰/۷/۱

ا استادیار آموزش زبان و ادبیات فارسی، دانشگاه تربیت مدرس، تهران، ایران (نویسنده مسئول).

E-mail: abasiz@modares.ac.ir

۲ استادیار اموزش زبان انگلیسی، دانشگاه کوثر، بجنورد، ایران.

E-mail: h.yaghoubinezhad@gmail.com

تحلیل ردهشناختی خلاف واقعها در زبان فارسی

زهرا عباسی ، هادی یعقوبی نژاد ۲

چکیده: هدف این مقاله مشارکت در مطالعات صورت گرفته در حوزه خلاف واقعیت از طریق بررسی شرطی های خلاف واقع در زبان فارسی است. با توجه به یافته های مطالعات رده شناختی در حوزه شرطی های خلاف واقع در زبان های مختلف، جملات شرطی خلاف واقع در زبان فارسی بررسی شده است. به منظور مطالعه رده شناسی، دو پارامتر اصلی مورد بررسی قرار گرفت: الگوهای متقارن و نامتقارن ساختواژی در جملات شرطی خلاف واقع و طیف TAM در بند پیش شرط و جواب شرط در زبان فارسی. همچنین در این راستا مفاهیم اصلی شرطی های خلاق واقع از جمله ساخت جملهای غیر بسیط، موقعیت تصور شده ای که رخ نداده و رخدادهای ساختگی مورد بررسی قرار گرفته اند. این پژوهش از نوع کتابخانه ای است و داده های مورد نیاز از پایگاه داده زبان فارسی (PLDB) استخراج شده است. یافته ها نشان می دهد جملات شرطی خلاف واقع فارسی دارای ساخت جمله ای غیر بسیط و بند شرط بند پیرو محسوب می شود و در دسته بندی های رده شناختی زبان فارسی دارای حمله شرطی خلاف واقع برای زمان های گذشته حال و آینده است. همچنین در طیف TAM جملات شرطی خلاف واقع هم الگوی متقارن دارند و هم الگوی نامتقارن. در الگوهای متقارن و به کار می رود. در سه نوع شرطی خلاف واقع هر دو بند شرط و جواب شرط ماضی استمرای و ماضی بعید به کار می رود. در سه نوع شرطی خلاف واقع برای زمان گذشته حال و آینده از زمان گذشته استفاده می کند به همراه نمود کامل و یا ناکامل.

واژههای کلیدی: شرطی خلافواقع، ردهشناسی، طیف (TAM (Tense-Aspect-Mood، ساخت جملهای غیربسیط، ابزار پیوندی.