

THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES

Volume 25, Issue 2 (2018), Winter 2018, Pages 1-89

Director-in-Charge: **Seyed Mehdi Mousavi**, Associate Professor of Archaeology Editor-in-Chief: **Masoud Ghaffari**, Associate Professor of Political Science Managing Editors: **Shahin Aryamanesh**, PhD Candidate of Archaeology

English Edit by: **Ahmad Shakil**, PhD. Published by **Tarbiat Modares University**

Editorial board:

A'vani, Gholamreza; Professor of philosophy, Tarbiat Modares University

Bozorg-e-bigdeli, Saeed; Associate Professor of Persian Language and Literature, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Dabir moghaddam, **Mohammad**; Professor of Linguistics, Allame Tabatabaei University, Tehran, Iran **Ehsani, Mohammad**; Professor of Sport Management, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Etemadi, Hossein; Associate Professor of Accounting jobs, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Ghaffari, Masoud; Associate Professor of Political Science, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Hafezniya, Mohammadreza; Professor in Political Geography and Geopolitics, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Hojjati, Seyed Mohammad bagher; Professor, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Hossini, Ali Akbar, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Khodadad Hosseini, Seyed Hamid; Professor in Business, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Kiyani, Gholamreza; Associate Professor of Language & Linguistics, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Kord Zafaranlu, Aliyeh; Associate Professor of General Linguistics-Phonology, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Manouchehri, Abbas; Professor of Political science, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Mehr Mohammadi, Mahmoud; Professor of Curriculum, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Mohaghegh Damad, Seyed Mostafa; Professor of law, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran

Mohseni, Manouchehr; Professor of Sociology, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Najjarzadeh, Reza; Associate Professor of Economics, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Nasseri Taheri, Abdollah; Professor of History, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Parvini, Khalil; Professor of Arabic literature, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Sadr, Seyed Kazem; Professor of Management, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Taslimi, Mohammad Saeed; Professor of Management, Tehran University, Tehran, Iran

Valavi, Ali Mohammad; Professor of History, Al Zahra University, Tehran, Iran

Zanjanizadeh, Homa; Associate Professor of Sociology, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Akbarian, Reza; Professor of Philosophy, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

The International Journal of Humanities is one of the TMU Press journals that is published by the responsibility of its Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board in the determined scopes.

The International Journal of Humanities is mainly devoted to the publication of original research, which brings fresh light to bear on the concepts, processes, and consequences of humanities in general. It is multi-disciplinary in the sense that it encourages contributions from all relevant fields and specialized branches of the humanities. The journal seeks to achieve the following objectives:

- -To promote inter-disciplinary research in all areas of the humanities.
- -To provide a forum for genuine and constructive dialogues between scholars in different fields of the humanities.
- -To assist researchers at the pre-and post-doctorate levels, with a wealth of new and original material.
- To make ideas, topics, and processes in the humanities intelligible and accessible to both the interested public and scholars whose expertise might lie outside that subject matter.

Address: Humanities faculty, Tarbiat Modares University, Nasr, Jalal AleAhmad, Tehran, Iran. P.O.Box: 14115-139

Web Address for manuscriptsubmission: http://eijh.modares.ac.ir/

Email: eijh@modares.ac.ir

Contents

How did Kartir become Kartir?
Sorour Khorashadi, Seyed Mehdi Mousavi
Historical Criticism and Review of Persian Language and Literature in Pakistan with
Emphasis on its Effects on Iranian Studies
Mohammad Mahdi Tavassoli, Karim Najafi Barzgar, Behrouz Geravand21
Addressing Mental Health Issues of International Students at University Sains Malaysia: An Inquiry for Social Work Policy and Practice
Roghayeh Khosravi, Azlinda Azman, Sahar Khosravi, Nima Khosravi
Pleasurable Experience in Old Collectivist and New Individualist Generations in Iran: Reviving "Safa" as Culture-oriented Pleasure to Decrease Generation Gap
Raika Khorshidian, Hassan Sadeghi Naeini, Asghar Fahimifar
Political Culture: A Survey in the City of Tehran Amir Maleki, Alimohammad Javadi, Mohammad javad Zahedi, Yaughoub Ahmadi61
The Mediating Role of Response to Environment in the Relationship between
Organizational Solidarity and External Image
Jafar Torkzadeh, Jafar Jahani, Elham Razi

How did Kartir become Kartir?

Sorour Khorashadi¹, Seyed Mehdi Mousavi²

Received: 2017/10/24 Accepted: 2019/2/13

Abstract

The empowerment of Zoroastrian Magi as a social class was intertwined with the emperors' power to the extent that the political power of Magi can be regarded as a discontinued historical process with ups and downs. This paper attempts to reassess an apparent contrast between historical narratives and archeological findings (e.g. rock reliefs) concerning the political empowerment of Zoroastrian Magi in the beginning of Sassanid Empire until the reign of Narseh. According to the historical narratives, the Sassanid founding fathers, Ardashir and his Successor Shapur I, emphasized ecumenism (i.e. the possession of political sovereignty and religious power by emperor.) However, according to the rock reliefs of the 3rd century, shortly after Shapur I, with the rise of Bahram I, a structural split occurred between the emperor's power as the sovereign and the power of Magi. Bahram I's reign is the first historical period in which Zoroastrian Magi, represented as a social class, obtained a political superiority. Roughly speaking, in this epoch which spanned for almost two decades (the reign of Bahram I, Bahram II, and Bahram III,) one institutionalized reading of Zoroastrianism developed by an elite Magi, i.e. "Kartir", became dominant over others and turned steadily into the Imperial religion. The above contrast can be formulated, as follows: given the fact that an ecumenical power is the ultimate form of sovereignty in a monarch system, why and how a Kartir could gain authoritatively a significant share of power? Through adopting an historical approach, the authors pursue the roots of answer in three related political phenomena: First, the quarrel between Bahram I and Narseh; second, the trial and the execution of Mani the prophet; and third, the institutionalization of Zoroastrianism as the Imperial religion.

Keywords: Zoroastrian Clergy; Ecumenism; Kartir; Bahram I; Narseh; Bahram II; Bahram III.

¹. Ph.D, Department of Archeology, University of Tarbiat Modares, Tehran, Iran. sorur_khorashadi@yahoo.com (Corresponding Author).

². Associate Professor of Archaeology, Department of Archeology, University of Tarbiat Modares, Tehran, Iran.

Introduction

The social class arrangement of the Sassanid era can be illustrated relying on royal inscriptions of the third century AD, Pahlavi texts, Syriac, Armenian, Arabic, and the late Persian texts, some of which are based on the very same Pahlavi writings (Shaki, 2013:654). Ardashir Pabagan, the founder of the Sassanid dynasty, terminated the relative freedoms that had been created for poor classes, especially peasants, after Alexander's invasion and tried to "halt social changes as much as possible. In his will, Ardashir warns his successors to prevent from changing the class status from their current rank to another, because the transition of people from their ranks accelerates the transition of power within the kingdom, from one emperor to another, be it through dethronement or killing" (Ravandi, 2536: 624/1; Mahmoud Abadi, 1999: 218-219).

Based on an ancient social classification, Avesta has divided the Iranian society into three classes: the clergy (Athravan), the (Rathaeshtar), and peasant (VastryoFshuyant). There is only one section in the Avesta (Yasna 19th, paragraph 17), which mentions a fourth category, called the class of artisans (Hoapati). With the advent of the Sassanid Empire, a new formation emerged in the society such that a distinct class called "the Secretary" was created, and resultantly, the peasants and artisans merged into the fourth class. This classification was influenced by the political situation of that time (Rajabi, 2003: 432/5; Mahmoud Abadi, 1999: 219).

Given the inconsistency between the historical narratives and the archaeological evidence concerning the position of the clergy class at the beginning of the Sassanid Empire, we initially intend to have a glance at some inscriptions of the third century AD

and then review some of the historical narratives. Through a comparative study of historical texts and archaeological evidence, the authors seek to realize the emergence of the class of clergy during Sassanid.

Literature Review

So far, many scholars have addressed the issue of the clerical class during the Sassanid era as well as the character of Kartir, the details of all are not possible in the present article. However, from among them, the insightful writings of famous authors such as Hinz, Gignoux, Boyce, Rajabi, Tafazzoli, Shahbazi, Malekzadeh, and Daryaei can be mentioned. These scholars have investigated some aspects of Kartir's persona and his political role based on the inscriptions of Kartir and the historical texts. Notwithstanding, none of them discovered the hidden secret behind the sudden rise of Kartir in terms of a "historical approach" as intended in the present research.

The Status of the Clergy in the Early Sassanid Era based on Inscriptions

There is a social class division in the early Sassanid, a legacy of the Parthian time. Shapur I in Haji-Abad inscription describes the quality of his archery in the presence of Shahrdarān, Vispohrān, Wozorgān and Azadān. Although this inscription guides us in recognizing the privileged classes, it is hard to draw any definite relationship between this rock relief and the quad partite hierarchical structure of the society (clergy, warrior, secretary, and peasant-artisan) in Shapur I's reign (Abolghasemi, 1996: 133/1; Mahmoud Abadi, 1999: 220). A noteworthy point in the list of Haji-Abad inscription is the absence of the title of Mobadan-e Mobad,

as the leaders of the clerical organization. This is in collision with the conventional quadruple division of the society that puts the clergy at the top. Therefore, in line with some researchers (Sarfaraz and Firuzmandi, 2002: 251) it seems justified to believe that in the beginning of the Sassanid period religious affairs were headed by the king of the kings (Shâhanshâh), the emperor himself.

Narseh, the son of Shapur I, also followed the hierarchy of ranks mentioned in Haji-Abad inscription in Paikuli rock relief. In the Greek version of this inscription, Shahrdarān are the very same local kings (selected from among the sons of the king of the kings), relying on whose presence, the title of Shâhanshâh had been emerged. According to the Greek text, Vaspohrgan are members of the Sassanid dynasty, without being directly linked to Shâhanshâh. Wozorgān, are the leaders of the most important royal families and finally, Azadan, are the other grandees and the nobility (Rajabi, 2003: 424/5). However, it should be mentioned that according to other scholars (Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 11), Paikuli inscription has been written only in two versions, i.e. Sassanid middle Persian and the Parthian language.

According to some historical narratives, throughout the reign of Ardashir, he was the trustee of Estakhr's fire temple and bore the "Emperor-Magi" title of (Wieschöfer 1987:371-374). At the time of his successor, Shapur I, there is also no reference to any religious title in Ka'ba-ye Zartosht relief. We encounter the name of Kartir with the title "Hirbad" in Ka'ba-ye Zartosht only as the 51st title among the Shapur I courtiers' names (Nasrollahzadeh, 2005:161-162). It is worth noticing that not only in Shapur's inscription in Ka'ba-ye Zartosht, but also in Narseh's inscription in Paikuli, the list of the titles are hierarchical, initiating with the name of kings, then the names of the royal family, and continuing with the most notable nobility and officers (Khorashadi et al, 2017). These archeological evidence indicate two things: first, in the beginning of Sassanid Empire, Zoroastrianism had not been institutionalized; and second, throughout the reigns of Ardashir, Shapur I, and Narseh, the Warrior class was superior to the supposed class of Clergy.

The Status of the Clergy in the Sassanid Era based on Historical Texts

Investigating the sequence of classes and the list of titles in texts such as Kar-Namag i Ardashiri Pabagan (Kar-Namag i Ardashiri Pabagan, 1963: 199-200), the Letter of Tansar (The Letter of Tansar, 1975: 57), Ahd -e Ardashir (Abbas, 1969: 78), Arda Viraf-Namag (Gignoux, 1993: 58-59), Sur Afarin (Daryaei, 2004: Tarikh 56), Yaqubi(Yaqubi, 1983: 219-220/1), Murujaldhahab (Masudi, 1965: 239-241/1), Al-Tanbih-al-Eshraf (Masudi, 1986: 97), Tarikh al-Tha'alibi (Tha'alibiNishaburi, 1989: 305-306), Tajarib al-Umam (Ibn Miskawayh Razi, 1990: 120), Al-Taj (Al-Jahiz, 1964: 67), and comparing them with the survived inscriptions, we can find contradictory information. Contrary to the historical narratives, claiming the existence of a stable class in the quadruple social social classification ("the clergy, warrior, secretary, and peasant-artisan",) not only this sequence does not apply to the early period of the Sassanid society, but also there is doubt about the claimed social stability. The reason is that ascribing titles and positions anachronistically to early periods of the Sassanid Empire distorts the authenticity of some narratives and completely rules out the attribution of those lists to the early Sassanid period. In fact, while assessing the texts and

comparing them to the archaeological evidence, we come to realize that the sequence of classes varied in different ways from one time to another in accordance with the ruling policies of the emperors.

The Emergence of "Mobad-e Mobadan"

In some narratives, it is argued that the emergence of "Mobad-e Mobadan" title dates back to the time of Ardashir I when he appointed a person called Mahrād as "Mobad-e Mobadan" (Christensen, 177); however, while describing the events at the time of Ardashir, Bal'ami mentions a person called Haman who was appointed as "Mobad-e Mobadan" by Ardashir (Bal'ami, 1998: 84). Referring to the oldest appearance of the title of Mobd-e Mobadan in Syriac sources in 358 AD, Daryaie states that "Adurbad-i Mahrspandan", the famous clergy at the time of Shapur II, was probably the first Mobad who could receive such a title (Daryaie, 2003: 83). Contrasting with Daryaei, Shapur Shahbazi believes that, although the first reference to the mentioned title is at the time of Shahpur II, it does not necessarily indicate that the title Mobad-e Mobadan had not existed in the beginning of the Sassanid era. Rather, it merely suggests that the title was not observed contemporary Sassanian documents until the time of Shapur II (Shapur Shahbazi, 2014: 249- 250). As we will expand it in the next sections, contrary to what Shapur Shahbazi argues, we think that if Mobad-e Mobadan were an existing title since the beginning of the Sassanid era, it would have emerged at least throughout Kartir's empowerment, a period which coincided with the institutionalization of Zoroastrianism as the imperial religion. However, although Kartir gradually became the absolute religious authority of the Empire, there is no selfidentification in Kartir's inscriptions as Mobad-e Mobadan.

The question arising here is that if Kartir did not hold the so-called title of Mobad-e Mobadan, then to whom this pompous title might have belonged? In other words, even if we agree with Shapur Shahbazi that Mobade Mobadan was an existing title in the time of Kartir, then given the ambitious character of Kartir— as represented in his inscriptions it would be unlikely that he has does not selfidentify himself as Mobad-e Mobadan, i.e. the head of the clergy.

There are some hypotheses regarding the absence of the title of Mobad in the inscriptions of the third century. The first one is based on the principle that the rank and the dignity of Mobads in the early Sassanid period (at the time of Ardashir and Shapur I) had not reached a point that they could be placed among the intimates and special relatives of the emperor. The second hypothesis suggests that the absence of the title of Mobad is because of the absence of the mentioned title at that time. According to the third hypothesis, at that time it was customary to set up fireworks or sacrifice in honor of the spirit of Zoroastrian Mobads (Gignoux, 1982: 260-262). The authors point out that the title of Mobad is not absent from all inscriptions of the third century; however, the questionable point is about the ones in which the title of Mobadan -e Mobad appeared.

The Letter of Tansar is one that provides worthwhile knowledge of Iran in the early mid-centuries. Tansar is a pseudonym referred to "a wise Iranian and great Mobad of Ardashir -e Pabagan". According to some scholars, probably Abarsam, one of the grandees at the time of Ardashir I (224-250 AD), has been the very same Tansar, the famous Zoroastrian cleric (Daryaei, 2003: 82). However, this hypothesis has been completely rejected (Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 44 -45). Others have also considered Kartir and Tansar to be the same (Pigulevskaia, 1998: 169; Herzfeld, 1975: 166; Sprengling, 1953: 214-215); but Mary Boyce's detailed argument— that are too long to be included in the present paper— clearly reject this view (Boyce, 1968: 10-11). Now, we intend to see who Kartir has been by studying the historical and the existing texts archaeological evidence.

Who was Kartir?

According to the historical narratives, the clergy were the allies of the Sassanian dynasty and got involved in politics since the advent of the Sassanid Empire (Ibn Miskawayh, 1990: 116). According to Schippmann, this involvement was to such an extent that, alongside the centralization of power, one can identify the "governmental religion" (def. an institutionalized reading of religion dictated by the governing system) as two initial tendencies in the Sassanid Empire. (Schippmann, 2005: 88). Since the relationship religion between and sovereignty fluctuated in different periods of the Sassanid history, for the reasons we will explain in details, we disagree with Schippmann. We think that one cannot easily argue that a governmental religion has existed since the early days of the Sassanid dynasty. The emergence and the relationship between the governmental religion and the "official religion" (def. a religion with the most believers) fluctuated significantly in accordance with the politics of the day.

Among the wills of Ardashir are the statements that he had made while appointing his son as the next emperor, "O' my heir! Know that the religion and the kingship are counterparts, so none of them

can exist independently. Religion is the basis of emperorship and the emperor is the guardian of religion. Whatever that lacks either a foundation or a guardian will eventually perish" (Shoushtari, 1971: 67; Mohammadi, 1995: 70; Masudi, 1986: 242-243). According to Gignoux, this narrative, and other similar ones in the beginning of the Sassanid Empire, expresses Ecumenism which is the ideal relationship between religion and emperorship (Gignoux, 1984: 72-73).

Since not all of Ardashir's problems were through adopting resolved religious prejudice and prioritizing one religion to others, his successor, Shapur I, adopted a moderate religious policy. While following Ardashir's about supporting Zoroastrianism, Shapur I felt that his supports should not lead the clergy to interfere in sovereign affairs; for this reason, although Shapur I was supporting Kartir, he also advocated the great adversary of the fire temple, namely Mani (Zarrinkoub, 1998: 424-425).

One of the most surprising Iranian figures in the third century was the Great Magi, Kartir. In his rock relief in Nagsh-e Rajab, Kartir mentions that he received religious titles one after another from emperors: "Emperor Shapur called Kartir "Magupet (=Mobad)" and "Hirbad (the fire temple custodian)". Emperors Hormizd and Bahram I, [the children] of Shapur, called Kartir "the Mobad of Ahura Mazda". Bahram Shâh [son of Bahram I called Kartir "the guardian of Bahram's psyche and the Mobad of Ahur Mazda" (Frye, 1979: 193). It is worth mentioning that, given the fact that Kartir's name and his initial title as "Hirbad" is ranked only as the 51st in Shapur's list of the courtiers on Ka'ba-ye Zartosht, Kartir's selfdescriptive inscription is not devoided of exaggeration (Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 162).

This implies that Kartir did not have a considerably important position at the time of Ardashir either; rather, he was appointed as the head of the clergy association during the reign of Shapur I (Mahmoud Abadi, 1999: 224; Hinz, 2006: 251). The curious point is that, while enumerating the courtiers of his father, Shapur I does not specify any religious titles in Ka'ba-ye Zartosht inscription.

At the time of Hormizd Ardashir, Kartir was promoted to the rank of Hormizd Mobad (the Great Magi of Ahura Mazda) (Duchesne-Guillemin, 1996: 337). Shâhanshâh (the Emperor) granted him a cap and a waistband, and thereby Kartir was placed among the nobles. In fact, it is the time that we first come across the title of Mobad, meaning the great Magi (Magu) (Hinz, 2006: 251).

Kartir on Threshold of Ascension

The ruling period of Bahram I, which coincided with the prosecution execution of Mani, can be regarded as the beginning of Kartir's political empowerment (Ibid: 251). During that period, Kartir succeeded in convincing the emperor to facilitate the trial and the execution of Mani who was the most prominent rival to Kartir (Boyce, 1975: 44). The death of Mani paved the way for the emergence of an institutionalized Zoroastrianism. Kartir introduced a specific interpretation of the official religion, Zoroastrianism and represented it, to use Kartir's terminology, as the "imperial religion" (Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 165). We strongly believe that within Kartir's articulation of this issue, there exist a nuanced difference between the "official religion" and "imperial religion" that has been dismissed in the available scholarly interpretations of the historical narratives.

To cash out this scholarly shortcoming, we shall explain the relationship between the emperors, religion, and politics in greater details. In this regard, according to the historical narratives, Bahram seemingly rose to the throne with the help of Kartir. Until that period, ecumenical emperors such as Ardashir Pabagan and Shapur I personally determined their successors. In fact, they established their sovereignty so strongly that the nobility, courtiers, and the high-rank Zoroastrian clergy could not dare to interfere the election of the crown prince (Christensen, 1987: 355, 360). What is astonishing to us is the sudden policy change of the royal family toward the clergy after the mentioned ecumenical emperors.

Shapur I was among the main supporters of Prophet Mani and allowed him to carry out his so-called universal religious mission. In response, Mani translated an excerpt of his teachings to the middle Persian for Shapur I and entitled it "Shāpuragān" (Boyce, 2007: 142; Tafazzoli, 1991: 301). Mani was allowed also to continue his religious activities freely during the reign of Hormizd I (Daryaei, 2013: 50; Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 210). But why did Mani suddenly fall? Why was he considered a threat to the Sassanid Empire? Why did Kartir suddenly obtain power and how could he participate in electing the kings and Shâhanshâh (the emperor)? How could Kartir get the approval of Bahram I, Shâhanshâh, for the trial and execution of Mani?

According to the historical narratives, electing the successor of Shâhanshâh, witnessing his oath, bestowing the crown on him, interrogating him (if necessary), and even dethroning him were among the prominent duties of the clergy. In fact, electing the successor of Shâhanshâh was determined throughout the power struggle among princes, Mazdayasna clergymen, and the nobility (Christensen, 1989: 150, 359-361). Occasionally, the clergy could trump others. According to Denkard, after Shâhanshâh, Mobadan -e Mobad was the wisest of human beings and even had the right to investigate Shâhanshâh (Sami, 1965: 51-52; Mahmoud Abadi, 1999: 254).

It is clear that the turning point for the power of the clergy dates back to Bahram I because prior to him, during the reign of Ardashir and Shapur I, shâhanshâh was single handedly the religious and the political who could autonomously authority determine his successor. This type of authority, ecumenism, which seems to be unique to Ardashir and Shapur I, was supported by the numismatic findings, according to which, at the end of Ardashir's reign, he was co-ruling over the Empire with Shapur I (Daryaei, Malekzadeh Bayani, 1972: 10; Alram, 1986: 186-189; Göbl, 1971: 42.L). Furthermore, based on the same numismatic evidence, we can see that Hormizd Ardashir was chosen as the ruler of Armenia and the crown prince by Shapur I (Khorashadi and Vahdati Nasab, 2014: 213-214). So there is no doubt that the ecumenical emperors like Ardashir and Shapur I have had the ultimate authority in choosing their successors.

It seems that Bahram I was apparently the first Shâhanshâh to rise to throne through the external force of the clergy (in our case, Kartir). Bahram I's reign coincided with the political empowerment of Kartir. This supposed coincidence is highly worth contemplating. Why did Bahram I's reign coincide with the political empowerment of Kartir?

According to the customary law of the Sasanians, the right to rule belonged to the "first born child" of Shâhanshâh (Shapur

Shahbazi, 1993: 430-431). However, Shapur I decided to choose his third son Hormizd Ardashir (or Hormizd), as his crown prince (Shayegan, 2005: 462; Chaumont, 1968: 82). After Hormizd Ardashir, and according to the principle of the "first born child", the eldest son of Shapur I (i.e. Bahram Gilan-Shâh known as Bahram I) must have become Shâhanshâh. Although Bahram I became Shâhanshâh, he could not rise to the throne without the help of Mobad Kartir. Here, there seems to be an underestimated relationship between Bahram I's rise to power, Kartir's empowerment, and the execution of Mani who started to being seen as a threat to Empire.

Based on the inscriptional evidence, Narseh, the sixth Sassanid king, the youngest son of Shapur I and the youngest brother of Bahram I (Henning, 1954: 419; Rajabi, 2003: Nasrollahzadeh, 5/149; 2005: 192), considered three Bahrams (Bahram I, II, and III) as the usurpers of throne. Instead, he thought of himself as the righteous successor of his brother, Hormizd I (Khorashadi and Vahdati Nasab, 2014: 245). Prior to the reign of Bahram I, the Sassanid Shâhanshâh used to choose his successor. However, in order to overcome his rival brother, Narseh, Bahram I needed Kartir's support. It seems that this was the only way through which Bahram I could achieve the crown and overcome his younger brother, Narseh. This issue suggests that the young brother, Narseh, must have had a vitally important advantage over his elder brother, Bahram. This hypothetical advantage was such strong that it compelled his elder brother to appeal to Kartir's support. We will describe this hypothetical situation in details soon.

Kartir at the Summit of Authority

Like his father, Bahram II could also rise to the throne relying on the Kartir's political (Duchesne-Guillemin, authority 309/3). In the reign of Bahram II, Kartir was honored with the title "Bakhtravan -e Varhran" (Boyce, 2007: 144-145). Moreover, he was also entrusted with the ancestral task of the Sassanid dynasty, i.e. guarding the Anahid Temple. Eventually, he received the title of "Hamshahr Magibad and Davar" from Bahram II, meaning that, in addition to his unlimited religious power, he was allowed to hold the highest judicial authority (Hinz, 2006: 255). It is believed that the idea of having a unique religious and political authority was re-actualized during the reign of Bahram II (Lukonin, 2005: 380). Therefore, the basis of the Zoroastrian religion was strengthened Zoroastrian religions were prosecuted under the command of Kartir (Tafazli, 1991: 303; Shapur Shahbazi, 1988: 516). However, we believe that although there was a link religious and the political authorities during the time of Bahram II, it was drastically different than the early times of the Sassanid Empire. In contrast with the early time of the Sassanid throughout which religion and political power were in the hands of the ecumenical emperor, in the reign of Bahram II religion and politics were monopolized respectively class and Artēštāran clergy (Arteshtaran). In this period, one of the deeds of Kartir's seminal institutionalization of Zoroastrianism as the only legitimate religion of Empire.

Among the characteristics of an imperial religion is the presence of the clergy as the only source of hermeneutical authority, the existence of religious extremism, religious discrimination. However, an

"official religion" is characterized by features such as religious tolerance and the lack of hermeneutical authoritativeness. Accordingly, an imperial religion, unlike the official one, is monopolistic, seeking an ideological unity rather than a socio-cultural integration among different lands and territories (Nouri, 2015). Based on such an institutionalization, as we can see it in Kartir's inscriptions, he started purging other religions (such as Christianity, Manichaeism, and Judaism,) founding new fire temples, and disposing of the heterodox Mobadan and religious reformers (Akbarzadeh, 2006: 17; Tafazzoli, 1991: 303). According to the rock reliefs of Bahram II, we can also see the presence of the clergy at the highest level of power structure (Khorashadi, 2010: 256-262). We think that the ruling period of Bahram II was a turning point for Zoroastrianism to become the imperial religion whereas, prior to this time, the Zoroastrian religion was officially accepted in the Sassanid community. This difference also explains partially why Shapur I and Hormizd I were not hostile to the heterodox clergy such as Mani. Moreover, we believe that such a difference also explains why in none of the inscriptional evidence and rock reliefs prior to the reign of Bahram II, there is no trace of the clergy at the top of the power structure.

According to Kartir's inscription, he had become very wealthy during the reign of Bahram II since he claimed he has established many of Bahram II's fire temples with his arranged own money, and several worshiping ceremonies annually (Boyce, 2007: 145). Concerning his highly arrogant title "Bakhtravan Varhran Ormuzd Mobad", scholars offered various interpretations. According to MacKenzie, Back, Chaumont, this phrase implies that Kartir had saved the spirit of Bahram and caused his

salvation. (Mackenzie, 1989: 63; Back 1978: 203; Chaumont, 1960: 347, 357). Henning believes that this phrase refers to the deceased Bahram (Henning, 1954: 40-45), while both Gignoux and Brunner (Gignoux,1991: 113; Brunner, 1974: 98) translated it as "the forgiven Bahram". Grenet interpreted this phrase as follows: "Kartir, the Mobad of the deceased Bahram I, and the Mobad of Ormuzd" (Grenet, 1990: 91). It is worth noting that some of these scholars have identified "Bahram" specified in inscription as Bahram I and argued that this title had been granted to Kartir by Bahram II because he helped him execute Mani. In fact, all these interpretations assume the power of Kartir, his contribution to killing Mani, and saving the spirit of Bahram I from the socalled Manist heresy (Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 167). However, we believe that contradictions in these narratives are quite clear. It is not clear in these narratives if Bahram killed Mani in order to please the Magi or if Kartir sought to save the spirit of Bahram from, as it were, Manist infidelity? Why did Bahram seek to gain the satisfaction gratification of the Magi, and particularly Kartir?

Interpreting the highly arrogant title Bakhtravan Varhran Mobad", Huyse suggests that the phrase should be known as "Kartir whose psyche has been saved by Bahram." According to Huyse's construal the word Bahram does not refer to Shâhanshâh, rather it stands for the Iranian deity of victory, Bahram. The name of Bahram as a deity is repeatedly pointed in his inscriptions while Kartir was discussing the establishment of Bahram's fires temples 1998: 118). According (Huyse, Nasrollahzadeh, this interpretation is the most explanatory view since the attribution of the title "savior of the kings' psyches" to

Kartir explains Kartir's ambition (Nasrallahzadeh, 2005: 167).

We believe however that, compared to the rock relief of Bahram II in Nagsh-e Rustam (Fig. 1), Kartir's self-description is not too ambitious. Kartir was the only person who did not belong to the royal family but left some inscriptions behind (Boyce, 2007: 139). Indeed, his inscriptions outnumber all the Sassanid kings (Tafazzoli, 1991: Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 175-176). Kartir's inscription in Nagsh-e Rustam is strange due to one more phenomenon; namely, it does not follow the Sassanid iconographic tradition. According the Sassanid to iconographic tradition, after the image of Shâhanshâh as the central character, there were kings, royal family members, and then courtiers in order. This is represented in, for instance, Ka'aba-ye Zartosht. However, in the rock relief of Bahram II at Naqsh-e Rustam, Kartir is unconventionally depicted prior to the royal family and the great King of Armenia. On the one hand, Kartir has raised his hand as a sign of respect and servitude to the superior descendants of the royal family, and on the other hand, he has been depicted prior to royal family. Kartir's positionality in this rock relief is not justifiable even though he had an outstanding political role in the court of Bahram II. The most prominent state authorities were also positioned after the kings according to the sequence rule of people's status in relation to the position of Shâhanshâh. The sequence of officials in the list of the courtiers in Shapur inscriptions on Ka'ba-ye Zartosht confirms our argument.

What seemed puzzling to many scholars was that, despite the fact that he left several memorial rock reliefs behind, Bahram II did not follow his predecessors in displaying his coronation ceremony. Although Lukonin (Lukonin, 2005: 317) and Hinz (Hinz, 2006:

296) think that the Barm-e Delak rock relief is a symbolic illustration of Bahram II's coronation featuring Shâhanshâh and Kartir praying on the sides of the hearths (Fig. 2), why do we not see any anthropomorphic deities on this scene? In the coronation ceremony rock reliefs of emperors before and after Bahram II, there are anthropomorphic deities whose presence legitimized the coronation symbolically. Due to the lack of anthropomorphic deities in Bahram II coronation rock relief, we think that a critical religious-political change must have happened in the structure of power in the reign of Bahram II. As noted earlier, institutionalizing the official religion (Zoroastrianism) and turning it to the imperial religion was carried out at Bahram II's reign. How did this change affect the coronation ceremony? Finding appropriate answer to this question requires revisiting some of the pillars of the religiouspolitical thought in the Sassanid era.

Relying on some seminal texts such as Avesta, Ahd-e Ardashir, Kar-Namag i Ardashiri Pabagan, The Letter of Tansar, Kalila and Demna, and Khwaday-Namag, as well as the inscriptions of the Achaemenid kings, some scholars enumerated five pillars for the foundations of the religious-political thought in the Sassanid era, thus: 1. A king with Khvarenah (Farah Izadi) who was divinely chosen by Ormuzd 2. The will of Ormuzd 3. Royal lineage 4. Asha (cosmic, political, and social order) 5. Ecumenism (i.e. the unity of religious and political authority) (Zamani and Tavousi, 2004: 49). Therefore, according to this articulation, any sovereign with Khvarenah (Farah Izadi) is someone who is chosen by Ahura Mazda. Such a person should have belonged to the royal lineage and possessed an ecumenical power. Such a person, and his family, thereby should

have always been in charge of religion and sovereignty. In response, the chosen person should strictly adhere to Asha, the cosmic, political, and social order (Ibid: 54). Considering that one of the conditions for benefitting the from divine glory (Khvarenah) was ecumenical power, we think that the violation of this ecumenism by Bahram II signaled a dramatic change in the political structure. According historical texts and archaeological evidence, Ardashir, Shapur I, and most probably, Hormizd had ecumenical power. We think that the advent of Bahram I is the beginning of a collapse of the ecumenical power.. However, his coronation ceremony depicted on Bishapur rocks (Fig. 3), featuring his predecessor Ormuzd, is an indication that the power division took place around the middle or later period of Bahram I's reign. If so, then the rock relief of Bahram II in Barm -e Delak might be considered as a symbolic representation of the full-fledged rupture of ecumenical power and the division of power between Kartir and Bahram II. Indeed, due to the collapse of ecumenism, we believe that Shâhanshâh (Bahram II) was no longer the sole representative of God on earth, and therefore, he was not qualified to receive the crown directly from an anthropomorphic deity in his coronation ceremony as depicted in his rock relief. Rather, he was bestowed the crown by the religious authority, Kartir, the head of Mobad. For this reason, Bahram II, as the "king of the kingdom", and Kartir, as the "king of religion", are praising Ormuzd in this rock relief.

Descent of Kartir

Due to the short reign of Bahram III (Javadi, 2001: 297; Rajabi, 2003:147/5), it is too difficult to investigate the status of Kartir throughout Bahram III's four-month period.

The last time we encounter the name of Kartir, is in Narseh's inscription in Paikuli (Boyce, 2007: 146; Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 168). In the list of people accompanying Narseh in Paikuli, the name of Kartir is seen under the title of Mobad Ormuzd (Frye, 1989: 354; Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 191-192); but because the text of the inscription is severely damaged, one cannot understand how the relationship between the new Shâhanshâh and Kartir was (Schippmann, 2005: 32). The significant minutia in this inscription is the humbly simple title of Kartir.

In contrast with Bahrams, Narseh decreased the power of the clergy. He even issued a permission to revive Mani's forbidden teachings. Moreover, claiming to follow Ardashir's will, Narseh took the custody of the Sassanian dynasty temple from Kartir and gave it back to the emperor, himself (Lukonin, 2005: 194-197; Mehr Abadi, 2003: 810). Recalling the religiouspolitical thought foundation of the Sassanid Empire, it seems that Narseh's intention to the ecumenical power simultaneous authority of religion and sovereignty) also had an important message: that Bahrams, due to violating ecumenism, should not have possessed the divine glory (Khvarenah) and they thereby illegitimate rulers. Indeed, by restoring ecumenism, Narseh followed a twofold plan: to acquire the privilege of the divine glory (Khvarenah), and to change the imperial (Kartir's institutionalized religion Zoroastrianism) to an official religion. In fact, by changing the imperial religion to the official one, Narseh sought to realize the political ideal of his grandfather, Ardashir, concerning the unity of the religion and the kingship by Shâhanshâh as the representative of Artēštāran (Arteshtaran) class. The advent

of Narseh coincided with Kartir's decline. If the general policy of the early emperors up until Bahram I was to preserve an ecumenical power (emperor's being the religiouspolitical authority), this policy drastically changed from the reign of Bahram I to that of Narseh through the empowerment of the clergy (i.e. Kartir). However, when Narseh came to power, he restored ecumenism. An important thing to notice is that Kartir's empowerment corresponds with the reigns of Bahrams. In this respect, Bahrams' political deeds should not be interpreted as their real desire to support the clerical system and the institutionalization of religion; rather, in order to seize the crown in the rivalry over the throne, Bahrams were obliged to give a significant political privilege to the clergy (especially to Kartir). This idea becomes more palpable once we revise the extent to which the rising of Bahram I is intertwined with Kartir's empowerment.

As we have previously pointed out, the hereditary law in the Sassanid Empire was based on the "right to rule for the first born child". This means that basically the eldest son of the deceased emperor was the legitimate ruler. Accordingly, the rise of Bahram I to the throne was in accordance this rule, however, in inscription, Narseh calls Bahram I "the illegitimate ruler." After a rivalry with three generations of Bahrams, Narseh rose to the throne and he modified the rock relief displaying Bahram I's coronation through adding an inscription of his own. The severity and intensity of Narseh's reactions to Bahram's legitimacy indicates that he should have had a dismissed right to rule. A right that could trump the hereditary law of the first-born child for rulership (Khorashadi and Vahdati Nasab, 2014: 251-254).

Unlike Bahram I, who, despite being the eldest son of Shapur I (Hinz, 2006: 190-195), only belonged to the royal family on his paternal side, Bahram I's stepbrother, Narseh, belonged to the royal family on both maternal and paternal sides. Due to belonging to the royal family on both sides, indeed Narseh was superior to Bahram I to rule (Khorashadi and Vahdati Nasab, 2014: 253-254). Because of this issue, Bahram had to gain his legitimacy through bestowing various privileges to the courtiers, nobility, the clergy, and above all, to Kartir. Given that there is no information available on the brief reign of Hormizd I, it is too difficult to realize why Hormizd I's decents did not inherit the crown and why after Hormizd I's demise, there has been a devastating rivalry among his brothers over the throne. However, Bahram I's rise to power was undeniably problematic at the time. The problem becomes even more acute when we face Kartir's inscriptions. This document includes some peculiar information about tradition of incestuous marriage which, we think, is directly related to the rivalry between Bahram I and Narseh.

The Tradition of Incestuous Marriage According to Kartir

The main source about Kartir's life is his rock reliefs (Hinz, 2006: 251, 255). Kartir has four seminal inscriptions in Pahlavi script in Naqsh-e Rajab, Ka'ba-ye Zartosht, Sar Mashhad, and Nagsh-e Rustam (Akbarzadeh, 2006: 17-18). In some of the inscriptions (i.e. Sar Mashhad and Ka'ba-ye Zartosht), he mentions that one of his numerous religious achievements was to support the tradition of xwedodah (def. incestuous marriage) (Boyce, 2007: 141; Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 169). Some scholars argued that what Kartir meant was that he

was the person who allowed marriages between family members at the time of Bahram II. According to these scholars, if incestuous marriage were prevalent before the reign of Bahram II, it would not have been necessary for Kartir to specify it as a seminal deed he made (Fazel, 1999: 112). Some others think that Kartir merely used a "political trick" to make such marriages seem illegal before the reign of Bahram II. In other words, Kartir meant to imply that the offspring of incestuous marriages borne before the reign of Bahram II were illegitimate (Khorashadi and Vahdati Nasab, 1393: 216-217). We believe that, given the fact that the early emperors of the Sassanid dynasty (such as Ardashir and Shapur) have had xwēdōdah —a phenomenon which has also been represented in the titles and names of Queens in Shapur I's inscription on Ka'baye Zartosht (Gignoux, 1986: 29; Hinz, 1969: 124, 126; Hinz, 2006: 190) — the latter interpretation seems to be more consistent and explanatory. Once we recall that the early Sassanid emperors (like Ardashir, Shapur I, and probably Hormizd I) were ecumenical rulers, then we can infer that they thought of xwēdōdah as a legitimate form of marriage. If so, then it seems absurd to think that Kartir could legitimize something which had already been legitimate in the earlier times. Building on the latter interpretation of Kartir's inscription, we can explain Kartir's political trick in the following way: after the reign of Hormizd I, Narseh was the righteous heir to throne due to his double-sided royal lineage. His father was Shapur I and his mother was Shapur I's own daughter, Adur Anahid (Khorashadi and Vahdati Nasab, 2014: 252). This means that Kartir's goal to propagate the incestuous marriage was a machination by means of which he could

dispose of Narseh, the offspring of Shapur I's incestuous marriage before Bahram II.

What is worth noting in the religious historiography of the Sassanid era is the elimination of the name of a charismatic character like Kartir from the Zoroastrian Middle Persian texts. In response to this question, researchers important suggested various opinions. It is believed that, since Kartir abused Zoroastrianism as a tool to meet his political goals, he was ignored in the Zoroastrian historiography (Tafazzoli, 1991: 304-305). Daryaei and Malekzadeh, based on Kartir's inscriptions, believe that this dismissal is due to Kartir's so-called ascension. According to them, the description of Kartir's ascension to Paradise, Purgatory, and Hell was not in accordance with the Mazdayasnaei ascension orthodoxy and took place through magic. In other words, despite his political influence, the Zoroastrian Mobads purged Kartir from the Sassanid religious historiography because he politicized religion and institutionalized a heterodox Zoroastrianism (Daryaei and Malekzadeh, 2015: 283-287).

Conclusion

Although the clergy class is the most privileged one in the social hierarchy of Avesta, categorization this does correspond to each period in the Sassanid history. More specifically, this categorization is not applicable to the earliest stage of the Sassanid Empire. The inscriptional evidence of the third century are the most illuminating documents about the social class order, from the time of Ardashir I until the beginning of Narseh's reign. The order of the names, titles, and social classes follow the same pattern in the inscriptions of Shapur I and Narseh. However, throughout the period between Shapur I and Narseh, the survived

inscriptions of Mobad Kartir demonstrate a remarkable alteration in the order of social classes and religious system. Once we compare Kartir's inscriptions to those of Bahram II, the depth of socio-religious can become more palpable. changes Alongside the importance of the content of Kartir's inscriptions, his privileged positionality in the perspectival structure of the rock reliefs of Bahram II (representing him as the top rank courtier) indicates a rupture in the social class structure from the reign of Ardashir I until the reign of Narseh. As we argued, since the establishment of the Sassanid dynasty to the reign of Narseh, we can identify three social class orders and three religious systems: first, the ecumenic age. This age refers to the reigns of Ardashir, Shapur, and Hormizd I, throughout which there is no sign of the clergy as the most privileged social classes. In this period, the religion can be called an "official religion" and the emperor is in charge of both politics and religion. Second, the middle period, coinciding with the reigns of Bahrams (Bahram I, Bahram II and Bahram III). In this period, the clergy become the most privileged social class, the sole authority of religion, and an organization institutionalize it. This institutionalization turned the official religion to an "imperial religion". Third, the later period which coincides with Narseh's reign. At this stage, Narseh revive the ecumenism of the earliest period.

Given the fact that the early emperors (i.e. Ardashir, Shapur, and perhaps Hormizd I) as "Shâh-Mobad" held an ecumenical power, one wonders why Bahrams, the emperors of the middle period, accepted (or decided) to share their power with the clergy. The answer to this question, we believe, is intertwined with the situation of the royal family after the

death of Hormizd I. A comparative study of the historical narratives, inscriptional evidence, and the rock reliefs suggests that the rise of Bahram I to the throne has been the beginning of a change in the policy of the family towards religious royal the organization. It seems that Bahram I's coronation was impossible without the help of Kartir's. Compared to his younger brother Narseh, who was the righteous heir to the throne, Bahram I did not have the legitimacy to rule. Because Narseh was the offspring of Shapur's marriage to his own daughter, Queen Adur Anahid, he was superior to the eldest son of Shapur I, Bahram I, to rule over the Empire. However, thanks to Kartir's political aid, Bahram I could overcome Narseh.

Bahram could rise to power at the cost of sharing the emperor's ecumenical power with the Assembly of Zoroastrian Magi. Our hypothesis regarding the empowerment of the clergy in the reign of Bahram can be also verified by the narrative of Mani's execution. Mani whose prophetic mission had been supported by Shapur I and Hormizd was abruptly regarded as a heretic movement and a threat to Empire at the time of Bahram I. We believe that the motive behind Mani's prosecution was indeed a political one in a religious disguise.

Kartir could take the most advantage of the emerging opportunity to institutionalize Zoroastrianism, and turn the official religion

References

- [1] Abolghasemi, M., (1996). The Guide of Ancient Iranian Languages, Vol. 1, Second Edition, Tehran: Samt Publication Inc (In Persian).
- [2] Akbarzadeh, D., (2006). Pahlavi Inscriptions (Rock Reliefs, Coins, Seal Traces, Carved Vessels), Tehran: Pazineh Publication Inc (In Persian).

to an imperial religion. Because Bahram II was dependent on Kartir's help to rise to power even more than his predecessor, Kartir became the sole authority for religious hermeneutics and thereby obtained his utmost power. However, the situation drastically changed after the short-term reign of Bahram III and the rise of Narseh. According to Narseh's inscription in Paikuli, Narseh tried to revive the ecumenism of Ardashir and Shapur I and therefore adopted a strategy in contrast with Bahrams. In Narseh's coronation ceremony rock relief in Nagsh-i Rustam (Fig. 4), unlike that of Bahram II (in which Kartir has been positioned at the top), there is no single sign of the clergy even at the bottom of the relief (Khorashadi, 2010: 222-223). Therefore, it is quite obvious that since the advent of the Sassanid dynasty to the ruling period of Narseh, the social class order and the religious system fluctuated periodically. A noteworthy point is that Bahrams must not have liked, or been interested in, Kartir's empowerment because they deliberately engaged in a gamble that resulted in their loss of ecumenic power. Indeed, it can be argued that in order to win the support of the assembly of the Mazdayasna clergy, in order to achieve the rulership, they inevitably had to give such a ransom to the clergy; a mutual cooperation that helped Bahrams to get to power and also made Kartir the sole religious authority.

- [3] Al-Jahiz, A.U.A., (1964). Al-Taj, Persian translation by Khalili, M. A, Tehran: funded by Ibne-Sina Library (In Persian).
- [4] Alram, M., (1986). Nomina Propria Iranicain Nummis, Materialgrundlagen zuden iranischen personennamen auf antiken munzen, Iranisches Personennamenbuch IV, Wien.

- [5] Al-Tha'alibi Nishaburi, A.M.A., (1989). Tarikh e Tha'ālibī, the first part of ancient Iran, Persian translation by Fazaeli, M, with the introduction of Zotenberg and Preface of Minovi, M. Tehran: Nogreh Publication Inc (In Persian).
- [6] Back, M., (1978). "Die sassanidischen staatsinschriften", Acta Iranica, (Leiden/ Téhéran/ Liège, Bibliothèque Pahlavi), Troisième série, vol. 8 (18).
- [7] Bal'ami, A.A.M., (1998). Tarikh al-Tabari, Edited and Annotated by Roshan, M, Tehran: Nogreh Publication Inc (In Persian).
- [8] Boyce, M., (1968). The Letter of Tansar, Roma.
- [9] _____(1975) ."A reader in Manichaean Middle Persian and Parthian", Acta Iranica 9, 3me série, Vol. II, Leiden, Tehran and Liège.
- [10] (2007). Zoroastrians: Their Religious Beliefs and Practices, Persian translation by Bahrami, A, Tehran: Ghoghnous.
- [11] Brunner, J.C., (1974). "The Middle Persian Inscription of the Priest Kirdêr at Nagš-i Rustam", Near Eastern Numismatics, Iconography, Epigraphy, and History, Studies in Honor of George C. Miles, ed, D.K. Koymjian, Beirut: 97-113.
- [12] Chaumont, M.L., (1960). "Recherhces sur Ie clergé Zoroastrian, Le Herbed", Revue de l'histoire des religions 158: 55-179.
- _____ (1968). "Les grands rois sassanides d' Arménie (IIIéme siècle ap. J. -C.)", Iranica Antiqua, vol. 8: 81-93.
- [14] Christensen, A., (1989). Iran during the Sasanian Age, Persian translation by Yasemi, R, Tehran: Amir Kabir (In Persian).
- [15] Daryaei, T., (2003). Sassanian History and Culture, Persian translation by Dizji, M, First Edition, Tehran: Ghoghnous (In Persian).
- [16] (2004). The Collapse of the Sassanid: Foreign Conquerors, Civil Resistance and the Image of the End of the World, Persian translation by Etehadieh, M, Amirkhani, H. F, Tehran: Nashr-e Tarikh-e Iran (In Persian).
- [17] (2013). The Sassanid, Persian translation by Etemadi, Sh, Tehran: Tous (In Persian).
- [18] Daryaei, T., Malekzadeh, S., (2015). "Why was Kartir forgotten?!" Iran Nameh, 30, 2, 287-280 (In Persian).
- [19] Duchesne-Guillemin, J (1996). The Religion of Ancient Iran, Persian translation by Monajem, R, Tehran: Fekr-e Rouz (In Persian).

- (1998). *Zoroastrianism*, Compiled and edited by Yarshater, E. in The history of Iran from the Seleucid to the collapse of the Sasanian state, Cambridge University research, Persian translation by Anousheh, H, Volume 3 (Part 2), Tehran: Amirkabir, 291-344 (In Persian).
- [21] Ehsan, A., (1969). Ahd -e Ardashir (Ardeshir covenant), Persian translation by Imam Shoushtari, M. A, Tehran: serial publications of the National Work Association (In Persian).
- [22] Fazel, Z., (1999). Inscription of Shapour I on Ka'ba-ye Zartosht, (M.A thesis), Tehran: Faculty of Literature and Humanities, University of Tehran (In Persian).
- [23] Frye, R.N., (1979). "The middle Persian inscription of kartir at Naqš-I-Rajab", open mirora,(Representational Motifs on the Sealings Prudence, Catalogue of the Sealing in the Metropolitan Museum of Art, Descriptions of the Drawings of Impressions Appendices: Harper, Prudence. O).
- (1989). Ancient Heritage of Iran, Persian translation by Rajabnia, M, Tehran: Elmi & Farhangi (In Persian).
- Gignoux, Ph., (1982)."Élements prosographie de quelques mobads Sasanides", JA, 270: 257-269.
- _____(1984). "Church-State Relations in the Sasanian period", inMonarchies and Socio-Religious Traditions in the Ancient Near East, ed. H. I. H. Prince T. Mikasa, Wiesbaden: Otto
- [27] (1986)."Noms propres Sassanides moyen-perse épigraphique", *Iranisches* Personennamenbuch II/2, Wien.

Harrassowitz.

- _ (1991). "Les quatre Inscriptions du mage Kirdir: textes et concordances", Studia Iranica, Cahier 9, Paris.
- ___ (2014). Arda Virf-namag, Persian translation by Amoozgar, J, Tehran: Moin (In Persian).
- [30] Göbl, R., (1971). Sasanian Numismatics tr, Paul Severin, Braunschweig.
- [31] Grenet, F (1990). "Observations sur les titres de Kirdir", Studia Iranica 19. f. 1: 87-93.
- [32] Ghirshman, R., (1370). Iranian Art (Parthian and Sassanid), Persian translation by Farahvashi, B, Volume II, Tehran: Translation and Publishing Firm (In Persian).

- [33] Haghighat. A., (2000). Political history calendar of Iran from the beginning to the end of the Pahlavi period, First Edition, Tehran: Koomesh (In Persian). [34] Henning, W.B., (1954). "Notes on the great inscription of Šāpūr I", Jackson Memorial Volum, Bombay [= Selected Papers, Acta Iranica 15, 1977] (2): 415-29].
- [35] Herzfeld, E., (1975). Ancient History of Iran based on Archaeology, Persian translation by Hekmat, A, Tehran: Society of National Works (In Persian).
- [36] Hinz, W., (1969). Alt- Iranische Funde und Forschungen, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co.
- [36] _____ (2006). New findings from Ancient Persia, Persian translation by Rajabi, Tehran:Ghoghnous (In Persian).
- [37] Huyse, Ph., (1998)."Kerdir and the first Sasanians", Proceedings of the Third European Conference of Iranian Studies, Part 1, Old and Middle Iranian Studies, ed. N.Sims-Williams, Wiesbaden: 109-120.
- [38] Ibn Miskawayh Razi (1990). Tajarib al-Umamfiakhbar Moluk al-Arab va al-Ajam, corrected and edited by Anzabi Nejad R, and Kalantari, Y, Tehran: Soroush (In Persian).
- [39] Imam Shoushtari, M.A., (1971). History of Shahriyari in the Persian Empire, Tehran: Publication Inc. of the Ministry of Culture and Arts (In Persian).
- [40] Javadi, G.R., (2001). Management in ancient Iran. Tehran: Ministry of Culture and Islamic Guidance, Printing and Publishing Organization (In
- [41] Khorashadi, S., (2010). Research on the works Attributed to the Sassanid Officials according to a Comparative Study between Historical Sources and Archaeological Evidence, (M.A Thesis) Zahedan: Faculty of Literature and Humanities, University of Sistan and Baluchistan (In Persian).
- [42] Khorashadi, S., Vahdati Nasab, H., (2014)."The Secret of Narseh's Struggle with Bahrams from a Cultural-Anthropology point of view. Quarterly Journal of Historical Sociology, Tarbiat Modares University, 6, 3, 211-263 (In Persian).
- [43] Khorashshadi, S., Mousavi, K. M., Neyestani, J., Mousavi, H. R., (2017). "Characterology of the Iranian Commander in front of the Emperor in the Rock Relief of Darabgerd", International Journal of the Society of Iranian Archaeologists, Vol. 3, No. 5, 73-91.

- [44] Lukonin, V.G., (2005). Iranian Sassanid Civilization, Persian translation by Reza, E, Tehran: Elmi & Farhangi (In Persian).
- [45] Mackenzie, D.N., (1989)."Kerdir's inscription: synoptic text in transliteration, transcription and commentary", in G. Hermann, The Sasanian rock reliefs at Nagsh-i-Rustam, Nagsh-i-Rustam 6, Iranisch Denkmaler, Reihe II: Iranische Felsrelief, Berlin: 35-72, [Iranica Diversa, Vol. I, Roma, 1999: 217-274.].
- [46] Mahmoud Abadi, A., (1999). History of Culture and Politics in Ancient Iran, Parineh Book (Research on the History, Culture and Politics of Ancient Iran), Tehran: Abtin, Ahvaz: Mahziar (In Persian).
- [47] Malekzadeh Bayani (1972). "Coins of Bahram VI, Historical Surveys", Journal of History and Research on Iranian Studies, the Great Staff of the Arteshtaran Publication (In Persian).
- [48] Mas'udi, A., (1965). Muruj adh-dhahabwa Ma'adin al-Jawahir, Persian translation Payandeh, A, Volume I, Tehran: Book Translation and Publishing Firm (In Persian).
- [49] ____ (1986). Al-Tanbiih va -al-Eshraf, Persian translation by Payandeh, A, Tehran: Elmi & Farhangi (In Persian).
- [50] Mehr Abadi, M., (2003). The Complete History of Ancient Iran (From Prehistory to the End of the Sassanid Dynasty), Tehran: Donyay-e Ketab (In Persian).
- [51] Mohammadi, M., (1995). Iranian Pre-Islamic Culture and its Effects on Islamic Civilization and Arabic Literature, 1st Edition, Tehran: Tous (In Persian).
- [52] Nasrollahzadeh, S., (2005). The Sassanian Genealogical Names from the Beginning until Hormizd II's Reign, Tehran: Research Institute of Cultural Heritage and Tourism Publication (In Persian).
- [53] Nuri, H., (2015). The sociological explanation of the collapse of the Sassanian Empire. Sociological Society, <u>www.isa.org.ir/session_report/4573</u> (In
- [54] Pigulevskaia, N., (1998). Iranian Cities during the Parthian and Sassanid Eras, Persian translation by Reza, E. Tehran: Elmi & Farhangi Publication Inc (In Persian).
- [55] Rajabi, P., (2003). The Missing Millennia: Vol. 5 (Sasanian), Tehran: Tous (In Persian).

- [56] Ravandi, M., (2536). *Iranian Social History*, Volume I *(Social history of Iran and the earliest ancient nations from the beginning to the Islam era)*, Fourth Edition, Tehran: Amir Kabir (In Persian).
- [57] Sami, A., (1965). *Sassanid Civilization, Volume II*, Shiraz: Mousavi Printing House, Faculty of Literature, Shiraz University (In Persian).
- [58] Sarfaraz, A, Firouzmandi, B., (2002). *Archeology and art of the Median and Achaemenid, Parthian and Sassanid periods*, Tehran: Marlik (In Persian).
- [59] Schippmann, K., (2005). *Basics of the Sassanian history*, Persian translation by Jahandari, K, Tehran: Farzan-Rouz (In Persian).
- [60] Shapur Shahbazi, A., (1988). "Bahrām II", *Encyclopedia Iranica*, Vol III, F.5: 516- 517.
- [61] _____ (1993). "Crown Prince". *Encyclopedia Iranica*, ed. E. Yarshater, Vol. VI. Costa Mesa, California: 430-432.
- [62] _____ (2014). Sassanian history (translation of the Sassanid section from Tarikh al_Tabari and comparing it with Tarikh al-Bal'ami), Tehran: University Press (In Persian).
- [63] Shaki, M., (1992). "Class System III in the Sasanian Period", *Encyclopedia Iranica*, Vol. V: 654-657.
- [64] Shayegan, R.M., (2005). "Hormizd I", *Encyclopedia Iranica*, Vol. XII, F. 5: 462- 464.
- [65] Soud Avar, A., (2004). *Divine glory (Farrah Izadi) in the royal religion of the ancient Iran*, Houston: Mirk (In Persian).

- [66] Sprengling, M., (1953). *Third Century Iran, Sapor and Kartir*, Chicago, 32.
- [67] Tafazzoli, A., (1991). "Kartir and the policy of the unity of religion and the State in the Sassanid period", *Iran Nameh*, 17th, pp. 297-307 (In Persian). [68] ______ (1993) ."Dabīr", *Encyclopedia Iranica*, Vol. VI, F.5, pp. 534-537.
- [69] *The Letter of Tansar to Goshnasp* (1975). Edited by Minovi, M., Coedited by Mohammad Esmaeil Rezvani, Tehran: Kharazmi (In Persian).
- [70] Wiesehöfer, J., (1987). "ArdaŠīr I", *Encyclopedia Iranica*, Vol. II, F. 4, pp. 371-376.
- [71] Yaqubi, A., (1983). *Tarikh-e Al-Yaqubi*, Persian translation by Ayati, M. E, Volume I, Tehran: Elmi & Farhangi (In Persian).
- [72] Zamani, A. M., Tavousi, M (2004). "Divine glory (Farah Izadi) and its reproduction in the political thought of Iran after Islam", *Journal of Iranian Studies*, Kerman University, 3, 5, 41-46 (In Persian).
- [73] Zand and Houman Yasn and Kar-Namag i Ardashir i Pabagan (1989). Compiled and edited by Hedayat, S. third edition, Tehran: Amir Kabir (In Persian).
- [74] Zarrinkoub, A., (1998). History of the people of Iran (Iran before Islam), fifth edition, Tehran: Amir Kabir (In Persian).



Fig. 1a. Rock Relief of Bahram II in Naqsh -e Rustam (Ghirshman, 1991: 170/2).



Fig. 1b. Another Section of the same Rock Relief (Nasrollahzadeh, 2005: 350).



Fig 2. Rock relief of Bahram II in Barm -e Delak (Source: Hinz, 2006:293).



Fig 3. Rock Relief of Bahram I in Bishapur (Ghirshman, 1991: 167/2).



کرتیر چگونه کرتیر شد؟

سُرور خُراشادی ۱، سیدمهدی موسوی ۲

تاریخ دریافت: ۱۳۹۶/۸/۲ تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۳۹۷/۱۱/۲۴

صدرنشینی تشکیلات روحانی در جامعهٔ ساسانی، فرایندی مقطعی و تبدیل آن به دستگاه دینی-دولتی، فرایندی تدریجی بود که به تأسی از سیاستهای وقت، قوت و رخوت می گرفت. آنچه بن مایهٔ این جستار شد، تناقض فاحش میان روایات تاریخی با شواهد باستان شناختی مبنی بر تقدم طبقهٔ روحانیان در ابتدای کار ساسانیان است؛ زیرا شواهد کتیبهای و نگارکندهای صخره ای قرن سوم میلادی حاکمی از آناند که نخستین بار در بازهٔ فر مانر وایی بهرام اول تا یادشاهی نرسه، دستگاه روحانیت در صدر طبقات اجتماعی جای گرفت؛ به گونه ای که «دین رسمی» زرتشتی نیز به «دین دولتی» بدل گشت. نظر به اینکه اردشیر و شاپور اول، حاکمیت توأمان دین و دولت را خود در دست داشتند، میدان دادن به دستگاه روحانیت توسط بهرامها، قرین فروكاستن از قدرت سلطنت بود؛ پديدهاي كه نمي بايست به مذاق هيچ پادشاهي خوشايند مي نمود. بنابراين چه موضوعي جولانگاه تاختوتاز كرتير و جلوسش بر اريكهٔ مذهب گشت؟ نتيجهٔ مطالعات از دريچهٔ «رهيافت تاريخي» حاكي از آن است که بروز اختلاف میان نرسه و بهرام اول بر سر تصاحب سلطنت و در پی آن اعدام مانی پیامبر، پروازگاه کرتیر تا عروجش در زمان بهرام دوم شد. اندکی درنگ بر محاکمه و مرگ مانی، انگیزههای سیاسی این رویداد را بیش از انگیزههای مذهبی آن قوت مىبخشد.

واژههای کلیدی: طبقات اجتماعی، روحانیان، کرتیر، نرسه، بهرامها.

ا. دانش آموختهٔ دکتری باستان شناسی، دانشکدهٔ علوم انسانی، دانشگاه تربیت مدرس، تهران، ایران. sorur_khorashadi@yahoo.com (نویسندهٔ

۲. دانشیار باستانشناسی، دانشکدهٔ علوم انسانی، دانشگاه تربیت مدرس، تهران، ایران.